## Appendix 4 - Ripley High School Student Feedback

Advantages	<u>Disadvantages</u>	<u>Comment</u>
Provide student accomodation	Traffic congestion	It isn't near my house, so I'm not bothered about that.
It will supply student homes/housing.	It could cause a lot more traffic around the University.	Near new shops close to town but near my house.
More student housing, so students can live opposite the university.	It would make the roads busy and it would take up the fields opposite the town.	A new school will be needed.
Easy access to university and will take less time to get there. It will also help students to find a home to live in if they can't find one in uni or in town.	Traffic increase. Too far away from local facilities - expensive. Too compact. Loud noise from M6.	Effect on infrastructure.
Lots of space, easy to commute, public transport. Student's and first time buyers would be able to move closer.	The A6 would get busier.	
It would be good for students. It is quite near the motorway. Public transport.	Lots of traffic will occur. Lots of pollution. Biodiversity may happen.	
Renewable energy near turbines. Student/lecturer accommodation.	A lot of people will be in one space and this will cause traffic and arguments.	
	I don't like this option, because I think it would be a bit compact and make road access busier.	
Existing infrastructure including services	It will make Galgate more busy with traffic. Too busy!	
It is good for infrastructure.		
Less money will be spent on infrastructure.	Too compact	
Won't have to build new roads.	Too many houses in one area.	
Good connections to motorway and train station.	The area will be too packed.	
There will be no need for anymore infrastructure.	I think it would be very crowded if they were all built	
	together in one place.	

More focused in one place. Good land use.

Motorway transport.

Easy access to shops and city centre.

Close to Lancaster town centre.

Good connections to local utilities and roads.

Taking advantage of existing services.

Near local shops.

It would introduce more shops and services as it is

easier access.

You've got access to a sport centre and large green fields. Loosing farm land, possibly loosing food.

Could boost shop income, in shops which are near closure.

#### Focussed in one area

It will only cause nuisance in one area instead of multiple areas.

It doesn't affect the rural areas.

#### Other

Create more jobs around Galgate.

Provides more homes.

More houses would create less expensive prices.

## Disadvantages continued

Village/city too packed and there might be an increase

in crime.

Too many people in one place effecting: Transport

going in and out of Lancaster. Natural Beauty. Farming

space.

I think that option 1 could make the bottom of

Lancaster over populated.

#### Loss of farmland/wildlife

Lots of farmland. Motorway traffic. Noise. Disruption

whilst building.

Loss of farmland.

It would ruin the beautiful view and destroy habitats and plants and animals.

#### Other

Less room for other buildings, e.g.

shop, parks, centres.

It will affect the people as the energy efficiency of the

UK will gradually drop down and global warming will

increase.

It is a greenfield site and will need a lot of work,

which means a lot of money will need to be spent.

Galgate wont be a village anymore.

Brownfield sites may cost more than greenfield sites.

The plumbing will be easier to put in without it being too complicated.

Save energy as people wont have to drive to get to town.

Building the community.

There will be more bus stops.

## Option 2

Advantages	<u>Disadvantages</u>	<u>Comment</u>
Lots of space	Loss of green belt/agricultural land	Near the link road. People don't like change.
Making a better use of such a vast piece of land.	Decrease of scenery (e.g. fields, farm land).	It will loose the fame of one city if they join as they will not have all the awards put together in one as it happened to the other one.
More spread out.	Possibly not able to build in the green belt.	Will have to build more roads to get into Lancaster.
Good idea with all the housing spread out more and easy sewage access.	Using farm land will lose farmers profit.	People might not be happy living in flats if we tried to put flats in the space, to fit more houses and people in.
Lots of room. Cheaper. Connective- commute - motorway, M6 link.	Reduces farm land.	Possibly review Carnforth more for more building.

## Disadvantages continued

If they go along with the green belt idea and put a few 
If it was a larger area then the houses houses on. They will keep adding and soon there will be wouldn't be very cramped so we could leave no greenbelt.

some parks so its still a beautiful place.

#### **Exisiting infrastructure and services**

I think that building in the green belt could cause upset Some people like to live in small villages around the local area.

instead of big towns/cities.

It would be quite close to the local facilities. It is close to a town and to infrastructure. It is close to infrastructure.

Make it easier to get into Lancaster.

Bigger more efficient town. Increased efficiency of public transport.

Reduction of too much of the greenbelt.

Loss of habitat, loss of farmland.

Bad for people who farm and like the natural

beauty.

Building on greenfield sites would effect some of the

natural beauty.

The greenbelt attracts tourists in the area for the reason that there are more natural features and beautiful areas.

Well connected to other urban areas. Lots of space. It connects to the motorway, so it's easy to commute to work.

People would like to keep the greenbelt because people can walk and cycle there in the natural beauty of the wildlife. It is somewhere to escape from busy towns.

Loss of nature in the green field land.

#### Other

Nice community to bring family up in. Less use of cars because of the close connections we can walk or use bikes.

There would not be too much traffic impact, it would be spread out and not concentrated. It would be easy to build on because it hasn't been previously built on.

## Damage wildlife

Destroy habitats.

Bad for the environment as birds and animals live there.

Disrupts the green belt environment.

Wildlife will be destroyed so the animals will have to move and make new habitats.

## Disadvantages continued

House values would decrease.

Traffic congestion because of more people moving. There isn't enough room in areas such as: Slyne, Hest Bank and Bolton-le-Sands. As they're all houses. It also takes a while to get to town.

It is a pretty area and animals will lose their habitats.

It will destroy the natural habitats and the animals.

## Distance to bypass

People may not want to live next to the by-pass Due to the by-pass the houses would get a lot of noise, even if there are barriers to block some of the noise.

#### Other

Infrastructure is bad and can cause sewage problems.

Lots of young families and old people live in Slyne to get away from the busy city and town, this could attract the wrong people.

It takes a lot of money to cut down the trees.

There would be some protests and there could be some confusion about districts.

Option 3

<u>Advantages</u> <u>Disadvantages</u> <u>Comments</u>

It could connect all of the villages

together.

**Fair distribution** Villages character Population in the villages will grow.

There is a fair distribution between villages.

Villages would turn into towns, which some people will. You would need to build more services.

However, there needs to be sufficient utilities in the area. not like.

Not one village will be over populated. It would make the villages more busy making them Focus on the larger villages/towns.

more urban.

It will be quiet, not as busy.

The people might think it is too crowded and

think it is turning into a town.

Every village will have it's share of buildings. It would change the character of the village.

Existing residents might not like a new change.

The houses are equally distributed rather than all put into The villages are nice. Villages will loose the one place. It is also close to infrastructure. 'village life' where everyone knows each other.

It would make Lancaster less busy if the villages were bigger, rather than expanding one area of town.

Decrease of other peoples houses changing the character.

I think that this is a good idea because it isn't all in one area.

More choice Need infrastructure

Moved from services

Allows more people to pick from a variety of places. More options for buyers. Moved from services, school, work, hospital.

Demand for new shops.

It could effect the infrastructure of the villages because the villages are made for that number of houses, NOT

ANY MORE! Also to spread out.

They will have to build more shops for every place

but only 2 or 3 if urban extension.

Advantages continued Disadvantages continued **Economy/More affordable housing** Infrastructure is more expensive. Income- economy boost. Decrease house price Have to build more shops in every area. - affordable. Nice place. Views. The average house price in Lancaster would decrease if more housing were built in the villages Many shops and schools in villages would **Traffic issues** get more people in them so more money would come in. More tourists/ people in distant villages, Busier rural roads. such as Caton. More housing in a village where lots of people More traffic will be caused due to building work would like to live, would mean house prices would go and a higher population. down. Creates lots of jobs over Lancaster. Traffic would be worse in villages. Services to build on Impact on green fields The villages are already there so it would It could still destroy places of natural beauty not make much impact. It isn't going to disturb other members of Kirkby Lonsdale Destroys lots of habitats or distract them. There would be more space for people to expand It disturbs the wildlife and villagers. their house or local area. Destroy lots of greenfield sites. It could be built on the greenbelt so it is breaking a Other Government rule again along with the by-pass. Near schools for young families. It would use more greenfield sites and farm land. Nice place to live- good community. Great idea, people wont be as upset about having Other

houses built in their area.

Still using energy to travel

## <u>Disadvantages continued</u>

Smaller gardens and houses

Changing places. Elderly people might move to a quiet area and if we build on it then it will ruin the small villages.

You would have to move resources around a lot. No room to have sporting areas and no place to walk dogs.

I don't like this idea because I think it's convenient andI think it should be somewhere with less houses already.

Option 4	0	pt	tic	n	4
----------	---	----	-----	---	---

Option 4 Advantages	<u>Disadvantages</u>	Comments
Sustain current services Could give businesses and shops more income, stop them from closing.	Village character You could lose the character of the village. More traffic caused by building work and higher population.	Schools would increase in numbers.  Make Dolphinholme and Over-Kellet more populated.
Dolphinholme would expand making it more of a popular place, so it would bring more money into the village.	Loose the heritage.	Good idea but instead focus on two small towns/ cities, e.g. Carnforth, Morecambe, Lancaster, Heysham, Galgate
Could bring more people to towns near the area.  The villages would become small towns and would provide more jobs in the new shops and schools.	Loose the character of Dolphinholme. Changing the character from 2 small villages to 2 small towns.	
Less travel  You wouldn't have to travel as much if there were only two main towns.  People living in villages wouldn't have to travel	Change in character, less farmland. There could be complaints by the people that moved there for the quiet life. It could take away the peace and quiet within the small towns. It would affect the people who currently live there	
as far to shops, jobs or schools.	because it is a fairly quiet place. It would be a huge change of character, people may dislike it.	

## Provide infrastrucutre and employment

Adding infrastructure, more jobs, move schools.

Near motorway.

## Elderly residents might not like change.

Don't build in Dolphinholme because it is a small area

and will become busy easily.

I think this will be very unpopular with

the villagers.

Cheaper, easy to do development, A6 commute, income Other for local businesses, school-families-children, creating job opportunities.

Opportunities for jobs.

Straight on to A6/M6.

#### Other

Nice place to live.

It is my favourite option because it's cheaper and it's on greenfield sites.

I think that this is the best idea because they are both very small areas and this could help them. Good choice focusing on the larger villages.

It will help schools and Universities that don't have much attention in the society.

You're not adding to urban congestion. More money could go into the new town. Less roads would have to be built. Then lots of villages.

Wouldn't take up as much space.

## Disadvantages continued

No brownfield sites.

It will disrupt greenfield land.

It's very remote.

It could be more expensive because it has not been

built on. They have to buy more resources. It will just be a clump of houses. More traffic. If the two villages joined it would cost more.

More local facilities will be needed.

More people will be more willing to commit crime within the bigger area.

It could mean there may be more low to medium wealth people in the area and it could loose the high wealth people.

Really high population so more houses.

Option 5		
<u>Advantages</u>	<u>Disadvantages</u>	Comments
Designed from scratch	Too far away/travel/commute	Spend a lot more money on new roads, schools, shops etc. or utilities.
Brand new, attract more people.	In the middle of nowhere.	
Make a modern town.	People would have to travel before everything is	
	built- things like schools, jobs and shops.	
Everything is new.	In the middle of nowhere.	
You could shape the city basically any way you want.	Too far away from other big towns.	
Develop towns to make them more useful, than previous towns that were dysfunctional.	Too far away from shops.	
You would be designing a whole new town, which would	I think that this is a bad idea because it is far away	
hopefully be more eco-friendly, look better, less crowded and would be made possible to add to it in the future.	from everything.	
	A lot of money would need to be spent on fuel, getting	
	too and from work, if you work in	
	Lancaster etc.	
Employment opportunities	Longer bus journeys as you may have to go around Hornby.	
Opportunity for jobs, e.g. school.	Far away from Lancaster. Need new jobs, schools	
	and hospitals.	
There will be more jobs.		
	High cost	
Away from other areas	Costs lots of money.	

It's nowhere near us and doesn't effect us. it's expensive to build. Take a lot of time and planning.

I like this idea because it is out of the way and it's a new village so there will be more room to build. It is going to cost loads of money.

Not an over populated town/city/village etc.

<u>Disadvantages continued</u>

Expensive starting from scratch.

It would become more popular.

Bad! It costs lots and it will be remote,

not close to any city or town.

Doesn't cost too much money like brownfield land.

Nothing there if people don't move. Cost-houses, shops, schools. Most isolated. Public services.

Don't ruin it.

Bad Idea- Too much money. Need to start from scratch.

Infrastructure? Hospitals? Transport inks?

Other

Wind farm possibility.

People would be able to start a new life in a new town.

Infrastructure

No infrastructure.

It would use un-used land. This would be good so

that more land used.

A nice place to live.

Need new roads.

Nowhere near transport, infrastructure, society. It will

cost a lot of money and it disturbs nature.

Needs lots of infrastructure. Infrastructure would cost lots.

You would have to build roads to link to the M6. Isolated from towns and human life, there is no

infrastructure. No internet or fibre optic.

Impact on area

Ruins the skyline.

Loss of animal habitat.

It would destroy the natural beauty.

Destroys wildlife.

Loss of nature in the country. Loss of green fields.

## <u>Disadvantages continued</u>

## Other

Roads would become busier.

If not many people wanted to live there, it would be a waste of money and time because many people have stayed in their homes.

The villages are too small for 5000 houses and it will ruin the skyline.

Elderly people live more in the like of Hest Bank and Bolton-le-Sands so expanding it would make them feel enclosed.

## **Ripley High School**

# 1<sup>ST</sup> Group

Option	Favourite Option	Least Favourite Option
1	7	6
2	2	5
3	10	3
4	0	9
5	6	2
2 <sup>nd</sup> Group		
Option	Favourite Option	Least Favourite Option
1	11	3
2	0	5
3	7	2
4	1	4
5	5	17
Overall Total		
Option	Favourite Option	Least Favourite Option
1	18	9
2	2	10
3	17	5
4	1	13
5	11	19